

6. LEFT-LIBERTARIAN PARTIES II: GREEN PARTIES

Miloš Brunclík

ENVIRONMENTALISM

critique of modern industrial society

- 1. must recognize the natural limits (to both economic and population growth)
- 2. emphasis upon growth = detrimental to the environment
- 3. emphasis upon free market instills within society a strong commitment to the principles of competitiveness and individualism



OPPOSITION TO BELIEF:

- possibility of continued economic growth for human purposes
- difficulties arising from economic growth can be solved by scientific and technological means
- 3. environmental problems can be "managed" within the context of the existing political and societal order
 - If life is in danger, individual liberties can be compromised



ENVIRONMENTALIST WISDOM

- human beings are part of the natural world
- this world is finite
- → unlimited material growth is impossible

1983 "We have just borrowed the Earth from our kids"



ENVIRONMENTALISM

- Key to social responsibility
 - just distribution of social and natural resources, both locally and globally
- Appropriate decision-making
 - decisions made directly at the appropriate level by those affected

VARIETIES OF ENVIRONMENTALISM

- 1. light' or 'shallow'
 - Anthropocentric perspective
 - Humans: role of managers of natural processes
 - Must enable the natural and diverse evolution of organisms
 - a managerial approach to environmental issues
- 2. Ecologism (dark and deep)
 - Ecocentric perspective
 - Human: on an equal level with all other things, rather than being their masters



EXPLAINING THE RISE OF GREEN PARTIES

underlying structural change

- new issues, values, generational shift
- shift from industrial to post-industrial society
- favourable political opportunity structures
 - Green parties mostly in advanced welfare states
 - perceived lack of responsiveness of traditional parties to new issues
 - Environmental issues on media agenda



PARTY SYSTEM CHANGE

 Previous cleavages did not disappear
But rather completed with new issues and cleavages
Traditional parties

take over green agenda





GREEN PARTIES: ORIGINS

Bottom-up trajectory

- Grassroots
- Activism and participation

Original agenda

- constructions of nuclear plants, dams
- enlarging of airport runways
- Disarmament
- destruction of historical sites

Label 'Green' derives from the 'Green Bans'

an Australian movement of building workers who refused to build on sites of cultural and environmental significance



MAJOR POLICIES

- 1. participatory democracy
- 2. freedom, autonomy and individuality
- 3. environmental protection
- 4. decentralisation
 - → call for direct and participatory forms of democracy



GREEN DISCOURSE: NON-VIOLENCE AND PACIFISM



GREEN DISCOURSE

 Anti-capitalist
Capitalism blamed for environmental devastation



DISTINCTIVE FEATURES OF GREEN PARTIES (KITSCHELT 1990)

- weak commitment mechanisms binding the activists to the parties
 - reflected in both limited levels of party membership and great deal of activism
- 2. decentralized and horizontally coordinated mobilization of activists
- 3. collective decision-making and greater participation



ORGANISATION

- two-member chairmanship
- reject centralised, bureaucratic organisations typical for mass parties
- small local organised cores
- weak national umbrella organisations



FIRST SUCCESS

- 1972 Tasmania (AUS) and New Zealand: first Green parties
- **1972** first green party in Europe:
 - the Popular Movement for the Environment (canton of Neuchâtel)
- 1973 first national green party in Europe: PEOPLE
 - Great Britain
- 1970 the first Green mayor in the world
 - Fons Sprangers elected in 1970 in Meer (Belgium)
- 1977 first political party to use the name "Green"
 - the Lower Saxon "Green List for Environmental Protection"

GREEN PARTIES TODAY

- transcend political discourse of traditional parties
- Part of mainstream
 - deradicalization
- Agenda enlargement
 - agendas that extend well beyond the traditional boundaries of environmentalism
 - human rights, social justice, and international relations
- But also: agenda of other parties affected by the green policy
- Party professionalization

ELECTORAL PERFORMANCE OF GREEN PARTIES (EXAMPLES)

- 1981 Agalev (Groen) in Belgium
- 1983 Finland and Germany first parliamentary seats
- 1988 Sweden first parliamentary seats
- 1995 Finland part of the ruling coalition
- 1998-2005 and from 2021 Germany:
 - part of the ruling coalition
- 2014-21 Sweden
 - part of the ruling coalition
- Nowadays: common type of party across Europe



ELECTORAL SUCCESS

- Green parties still relatively small
 - material/economic agenda prevails
 - "greening" policy agenda of other parties

AUS	Tasmani	NZ	ICE	LUX	FIN	GER	SUI	SWE
(2022)	a (2024)	(2023)	(2021)	(2023)	(2023)	2021	(2023)	(2022)
12	14	11	13	9	7	15	15	4

TYPICAL VOTERS

- 🗋 Young
- Well-educated
- Middle-class
- Employed in human services (teaching, health care, social work)
- left-of-center political convictions
- Subscribe to postmaterial values
- Sympathize with environment, feminist and peace movements

INTERNAL TENSIONS

Poguntke (1989)

- Moderates believe in the eventual success of piecemeal reform
- Fundamentalists fear the pacifying and demobilizing effects of this strategy
- Kitschelt (1988): three groups of party activists
 - 1. **Ideologues**: broad and radical vision of party objectives, history of political activism within other left-libertarian organization or NSM
 - 2. Lobbyists
 - 3. Pragmatists: gradual and incremental change of society

PARTY DILEMMA

Kitschelt (1990): Green parties must

- reflect ideology and aspirations of their core supporters
- Gain sufficient electoral support to influence policy- making
- = dilemma
 - logic of constituency representation X logic of party competition
- The dilemma draws the 'ideologues' and 'pragmatists' into debate and conflict
- 1. Ideologues: control the party's leadership and direction, follow a 'logic of constituency representation
- 2. Pragmatists: party is more likely to look towards a 'logic of party competition'

GREEN PARTY (DIE GRÜNEN) IN GERMANY: 13TH JANUARY 1980 IN KARLSRUHE

- Alliance of ecological and civic initiatives and small parties
 - First green groups in the 1970s at local and regional level: environmentalists and peace activists



organised thousands of action groups

GREEN PARTY IN GERMANY

Opposition to

pollution

use of nuclear power

NATO military action

certain aspects of industrialised society

+ quality of life issues



PROGRAM 1980

- 1. Radical pacifism and anti-militarism
 - End of Cold War
 - Disarmament
 - Dissolution of NATO and the Warsaw Pact
 - Germany's withdrawal from NATO and abolition of Bundeswehr



Auch wenn Sie das kleinere Übel wählen – Sie wählen den Weg in den Atomstaat.

BUT...

- 1997 against prolonging of German troops deployment in Bosnia (SFOR mission)
- 1998 coalition partner of SPD
- 1998 for Germany's air-force participation on NATO air-strikes against Serbia in Kosovo
- Later programs
 - Global responsibility
 - Support for German participation in UN missions
 - Do not deny Germany's membership in NATO, nor withdrawal demands



FOREIGN AND DEFENCE POLICY TODAY

- centrist line on defense
- pushing for a stronger common EU foreign policy
 - especially against Russia and China
 - Annalena Baerbock proposed a post-pacifist foreign policy.
 - supports eastward expansion of NATO
- 2020 Party program NATO: an "indispensable" part of European security
- promise to abolish the contested Nord Stream pipeline to ship Russian natural gas to Germany
- remains divided over issues such as nuclear disarmament and U.S. nuclear weapons on German territory

PROGRAM 1980

- 2. Ecological demands
 - Environment-friendly transportation and production
 - Capitalism: Cause of the global ecological crisis





GERMAN UNIFICATION 1990

- 1990 against unification of Germany
- Hope that the Eastern Germany shall
 - opt for a different path
 - Not adjust to Western capitalism
 - \rightarrow merged with Alliance 90 only in 1993



PROGRAM 1980

- 3. Social and emancipation movement
- Human rights
 - Respecting human rights presupposes disarmament, environmental protection and bridging the gap between rich and poor
- Participatory democracy





PROBLEMS

1.tension between ecological and social wings

 \rightarrow whether economic or ecological needs should be given priority

2."realos" X "fundis"

Realos

Ecological reformism, moderate approach

Cooperation with parliamentary parties

Fundis

More radical, against the system

Anti-Parteien-Partei

No compromises

"Street" tactics



<image>

REALOS VS. FUNDIS

- from a mass-movement focus towards parliamentary politics
- alliance and compromise
- Pragmatism and cooperation
- Professionalizing
- Searching for a wider social base

- Outside-parliament tactics
- rejected any form of tactical orientation merely for the purposes of electoral gain
- No compromise
- Reluctant to coalitions/alliances with other parties
- only radical social change would provide lasting environmental solutions

REALOS X FUNDIS

1983 Hessian Green Party formed a governing coalition with SPD

- hefty controversy within the party
- 1983 1991 (Neumünster party congress)
- Era of the major conflict

FUNDIS VS. REALOS

- conventional parliamentary strategies instead of demonstrations and petitions
- unusual organisational rules
 - rotation principle
 - imperative mandate
 - only one office in party affairs at one time
 - partial acceptance of a salary with the rest going to the party
 - \rightarrow have all turned out to be clear disadvantages

PARTY CHANGE

- 1. Professionalization
 - Organizational changes
 - External professionals
- 2. Left-right positioning
- 3. Moderation
 - E.g. NATO



im Wandel der Zeit





Viesbaden, 1981

Berlin, 2011



GENDER AND LGBT ISSUES

Feminism

supports the implementation of quotas in executive boards

the policy of equal pay for equal work

- fight against domestic violence
- LGBT
 - "fights for the acceptance and against the exclusion of homosexuals, bisexuals, intersex- and transgender people and others"
 - wants to extend asylum to LGBT people abroad
- extensive support for the LGBT community = many LGBT vote for party even if their political ideology does not quite align otherwise